SINGLE BENCH

(1)

(15)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 160 /2005

PETITIONERS :: 1. Chandrakumar Lahre S/o Lakhan Lal Lahre
Age 27 yrs. Village & P.O- Barhapur,
Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

2. Yashwant Kumar Dewangan
S/o Bhagwati Prasad Dewangan
Age 27 yrs. Village & P.O- Barhapur,
Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

3. Ramawatar Dewangan S/o Sukhau Ram
Age 28 yrs. Village & P.O- Barhapur,
Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

4. Santosh Kumar Dewangan, S/o Bishewar Lal Dewangan, Age 27 yrs. Village & P.O- Barhapur, Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg

5. Smt. Rekha Dwivedi, W/o Takeshwar Dwivedi Age 30 yrs. , Village & P.O- Barhapur, Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

6. Ku. Pallavi Tamrakar, D/o Krishnpal Tamrakar Age 27 yrs., Village, P.O &, Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

7. Vibhor Agrawal, S/o Indra Kumar Agrawal
Age 28 yrs. Village - Mahrajpur
P.O- Dhamdha, Block-Dhamdha,
District – Durg (C.G.)

8. Manoj Kuma Rajput, S/o Jairam Singh Rajput
Age 28 yrs., Village & Post - Deori,
Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

9. Chowaram Sahu, S/o Punaram Sahu Age 29 yrs.,Village – Danganiya, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

10. Purushottam Dewangan, S/o Sukhram Dewangan, Age 28 yrs., Village & P.O- Barhapur, Block-Dhamdha,
District – Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4752/05
Presented by Shri S.C. Verma
dated 14/9/05

RECEIVED-COPY
14-9-05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2)

11. Bhairvlal Patel S/o B.L.Patel, Age 31 yrs. Village – Jalgarha, P.S.- Sariya, Block- Baramkela, District – Raigarh (C.G.)
12. Hemant Naik S/o. L.P. Naik, Age 28 yrs. Village – Jalgarha, P.S.- Sariya, Block- Baramkela, District – Raigarh (C.G.)
13. Dayasagar Pradhan S/o R.R.Pradhan Age 27 yrs. Village – Jalgarha, P.S.- Sariya, Block- Baramkela, District – Raigarh (C.G.)
14. Tikendra Kumar Nishad S/o I.L.Nishad Age 24 yrs., R/o Post= Deori,Vikas Khand-Djamada, Dist= Durg
15. Ku. Neetu Singh Rajput D/o D.S.Rajput Age 25 yrs.,Village – Danganiya, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
16. Rajendra Kumar Bharati, S/o B.P.Bharati Age 26 yrs.,Village – Ghoda, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
17. Suryakant Patil, S/o S.R.Patil Age 20 yrs.,Village – Ghoda, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
18. Ramesh Sivare, S/o Bisahat Sivare Age 24 yrs.,Village – Ghoda, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
19. Naval Kishor Sahu S/o B. Sahu Age 23 yrs.,Village – Birjhapur, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
20. Ku. Savita Nagesia, D/o Baleshwar Age 21 yrs.,Village – Birjhapur, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
21. Dhanraj S/o Anjorava, Age 27 yrs.,Village – Moharenga, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)
22. Srikumar Anant, S/o C.S.Anant, Age 30 yrs.,Village – Moharenga, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

(3)

(12)

23. Rajkumar Gayakwad, S/o S,R.Gayakwad Age 28 yrs.,Village – Moharenga, P.O & Block-Dhamdha, District – Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1) State of Chhattisgarh ,Through Secretary, Adim Jati Anusuchit Jati, Alpsankhyak Varg and Pichhda Kalyan, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2) The Secretary, Education Govt. of Chhattisgarh, DKS Bhavan, Manralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

3) Director (Education) Directorate, Raipur (C.G.)

4) The Secretary Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPIRATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS AND ORDER/ORDSERS ECT.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP(C) No. 160/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
13-1-2006	Shri S.C. Verma, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State, on advance copy. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed by the various Janbhagidari Samities and they are working but they are not paid the salary since last long. He further submits that for the present the petitioners may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed individual representations before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on their own merits in accordance with law. Learned counsel for the State submits that the Janbhagidari Samities have not been made party. However he does not oppose the prayer of making the representations. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make detailed individual representations raising their grievances before the competent authority of the respondents/respondents no. 1 to 4 and to the respective institutions where they are serving along with a copy of the petition within three weeks from today, and on such representations being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representations. If the grievances of the petitioners still remain, they may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently I.A. No. 513/2006 and I.A. No. 514/2006 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

[पीछे देखिये